REMARKS

Claims 1 - 6 are pending in the present application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 2, 4

and 5 have each been amended. No new matter has been added. It is respectfully submitted that

this Amendment is fully responsive to the Office Action dated August 20, 2004.

Allowable Subject Matter:

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the indication in item 5 of the Office Action that

claims 2, 3, 5 and 6 would be allowable, if amended, to include all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

However, for at least the reasons discussed below, it is respectfully submitted that all of

claims 1 - 6 are allowable.

Abstract:

The Abstract stands objected to in item 1 of the Action due to its length. As such, the

Abstract has been amended to overcome this objection. Accordingly, withdrawal of this

objection is respectfully requested.

Claim Objections:

Claim 2 and 5 stand objected in item 2 of the Action, since each of these claims fails to

include a period at the end of the claims. As such, each of claims 2 and 5 has been amended to

overcome this objection. Accordingly, withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

Page 5 of 7

As to the Merits:

As to the merits of this case, the Examiner set forth the following rejection:

claims 1 and 4 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by

Takahashi (U.S. Patent No. 4,904,943).

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1, as amended, now calls for estimating the origin time from the starting time of

electric field increase; and estimating the hypocentral distance and the scale from the elapsed

time from said origin time and said measured data. Claim 4 is drawn to a similar embodiment.

Takahashi discloses a system wherein pre-earthquake electromagnetic waves are readily

detected such that "it is therefore possible to estimate the magnitude of the impending earthquake

from the intensity of the received pre-earthquake electromagnetic waves," 1 as well as it is

"possible to predict an earthquake two hours before the principal shack happens." 2

That is, <u>Takahashi</u> is <u>not</u> concerned with estimating the origin time of an earthquake

almost simultaneously with the occurrence of the earthquake from the starting time of an electric

field increase or estimating the elapsed time from the origin time, since instead <u>Takahashi</u>

attempts to predict the time the earthquake is likely to occur preferably more than two hours

before the earthquake occurs from the pre-earthquake waves.

¹ Please see lines 18-21, column 6 of <u>Takahashi</u>.

² Please see lines 37-39, column 6 of <u>Takahashi</u>.

Page 6 of 7

Response under 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Attorney Docket No. 030936

Serial No. 10/634,837

Moreover, since <u>Takahashi</u> fails to estimate the origin time, it follows that <u>Takahashi</u>

also fails to disclose or fairly suggest estimating the hypocentral distance and the scale from the

elapsed time from said origin time and said measured data, as called for in claim 1.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicants

submit that that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicants

request such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to

expedite the disposition of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate

extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect

to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

Westerman, Hattori, Daniels & Adrian, llp

Thomas E. Brown

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 44,450

TEB/jl

1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW

Suite 700

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 822-1100

Page 7 of 7